

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tradamark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

223

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAME	D APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO
07/920.519	07/28/92	CAPUT	D 16781/	/276
			SCHMICKEL D	EXAMINER
		18M2/0228		
FOLEY & LA	RDNER		<u> </u>	
SUITE 500			ART UNI	T PAPER NUMBER

FOLEY & LARDNER
SUITE 500
3000 K STREET, NW
WASH, DC 20007-5109

1814 DATE MAILED: 02/28/94

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

## COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

## **ADVISORY ACTION**

Ø	THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
e)	is extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection
b) (	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
_	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing e petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
X	Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
	Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for ellowance:
1. [	The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
	<ul> <li>There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.</li> </ul>
	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
	d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: An absence of under experimentation does not mean trivial. A declaration indicating unit RUN extraction would not work would be beloful + would be considered as well as declaration for long tell need.
2 [	Union uning does not mean the declarations were not considered.
2.	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
3. [	Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment \( \square\) will be entered \( \square\) will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
	Claims allowed:
	Claims objected to:
	Claims rejected:  However;
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
4 )	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because
5. [	The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good end sufficent reasons why it was not eerlier presented.
□ Th	e proposed drawing correction  has has not been approved by the examiner.
_ 0	

ROBERT A. WAX SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 180